Fish Passage in Montana Culverts: Phase II – Passage Goals

Dr. Joel Cahoon Dr. Thomas McMahon Andrew Solcz Matt Blank Dr. Otto Stein





Issues Known to Impact Fish Passage



brough Culverts
Drop Height
Excessive Velocity
Lack of Plunge Pool
Shallow Flow





We also know these things can change with time.



1.1 m/sec



2.8 m/sec

What we don't know...

Are there probability based goals for fish passage that are better than goals based on absolutes?

Are there stream connectivity goals that can be realistically met?

Our Fish Passage Field Study

Track electronically tagged fish throughout a culvert-intense stream system over annual and fish mobility hydrologic cycles.

Study Location





Road is through Gallatin National Forest, but is maintained by Park County.















Half-Duplex PIT Tags

Advantages
Record individual passing through many culverts
Single physical capture
Records exact time of activity



OregonRFIE



Half Duplex PIT Tag Readers and Antennas



Antenna Installation

The antenna loop is 12 gauge stranded wire

Antenna tuner



Twin-axial cable from antenna to reader

Antenna Placement



► 2005-2006
► 143 Individuals tagged
► 119 YCT
► 13 RB
► 11 Hybrid







Trapping and Tagging



A CARLES AND A CARLES AND A CARLES AND A

Trapping and Tagging



Hydraulic and Hydrologic Data

Data logger of stage and temperature...

USGS method to convert stage to flow rate...

measure culvert geometry...



correlate cross sectional flow area (A) to flow rate (Q)...

V = Q/A provides velocity at any point in time.





Water Velocities



Baffled culverts act like natural reach.

Fish Travel Timing



Most fish move between noon and dusk.

Time to Pass Through a Culvert



Fish must speed through the smooth culverts, but can rest along the way in the baffled culverts.

Time to Reach Points in the System



Travel History – Individual Fish



5654 300 mm Cutthroat Male 2005

Travel History – Individual Fish



5566 340 mm Hybrid Unkown Sex 2006

Effect of Species or Gender



No difference in ability to pass culverts by gender or species.

Factors not Related to Pass/No Pass



No significant effect of slope, length, or number of attempts. Remember – not much diversity in slope or length.

Factors Related to Pass/No Pass



Culvert length, drop height, water velocity, and water temperature all significantly affected pass/no pass.

Probability of Passing a Culvert

Factors

Culvert Slope Culvert Length Number of Attempts Water Temperature Fish Length **Drop Height** Water Velocity

Comments Not Significant Not Significant Not Significant **Co-Variate of Velocity** Big vs. Medium Big Not Diverse (n ~ 5) **Strongest Indicator**

Probability of Passing a Culvert



Probability of Passing a Culvert



FishXing Passage Windows



FishXing Passage Windows



A second se

Probability of Passing through the System

□ Tagged Individual Fish Detected Individual Fish Passage in Series



Probability Based Passage Goals

		Spawning Gravel	
Reach	Length (km)	total m ²	m²/km
trap to culvert 1	1.1	124.7	113.4
culvert 1 to culvert 2	0.3	4.9	15.8
culvert 2 to culvert 3	0.7	17.5	25.4
culvert 3 to culverts 4 and 5	0.8	38.0	45.8
Upper Mulherin	1.6	136.4	85.3
Cinnabar	6.5	680.5	104.7

Culverts 4 and 5 – most bang for your buck! Low probability of passage as is, and would open up long spawning gravel-rich areas.

Conclusions

Velocity is a strong probability based indicator of passage success and should be used as the basis of establishing passage goals.

The baffled concrete box culverts in the study performed well.

Prioritize based on passage goals and probability of passage.





