Appendix E

Newspaper Articles Regarding the June 1, 2005 Public Meeting

Highway 69 alternate route faces opposition

Proposed safety changes criticized for effect they would have on ranchers

By MARGA LINCOLN

A Montana Department of Transportation plan to rebuild a scenic but high-accident stretch of Highway 69 south of Boulder a running into mointing opposition from ranchers and runal resments.

MDT will hold a meeting Wednesday, June 1, at 6:30 p.m. In the Jefferson High School Offeteria to present two proposed atematives for reputining the road and to hear public comment.

One proposal would widen the existing road, adding shoulders and straightening some curves between mile best 22 and 22 according to Jeff Eperf. Burge district engineen ford district S

The other moves the high across the Bollaer River are Elkhorn turn of and curst along what is now Lover with Road, a county prime i road

Road, a county gravel road MDT wants to build on result this high ave securation and the "in dire need of restances to bring it up to modern standards, so people can travel safen." Ebert said.

It is considering relocating a portion of the roadbed because of adjacent watlands and racky cliffs along the current roadway

Ranchers feel threatened

"People definitely want to implove the safety," said Terry Mingw, who ranches with her fustion di Brudi Smith, "They fue think this is the wrong way to di it."

More HIGHWAYS Hope

Highway: Some say safety will be worse

continued from 1A

Relocating the highway is particularly drawing fire. Minow and about 30 neighbors met in the past few days to discuss the project.

"Everybody opposes the rerouting," said Minow. "Most people want some improvements to the highway, but they're very concerned that the improvements protect their land and rural lifestyle and that it improve safety, not make it worse.

"People are concerned it will increase the amount of high-speed traffic and make it less safe," she said.

"Their proposed change would have a drastic effect m me and other people. My ranching operation will take a pretty big hit," said one neighbor, rancher Ed McCauley. Generations of his family have ranched in that area since the 1860s.

"If they move it over here then I don't have a safe route," he said. "I move cattle and hay and equipment up and down this road all the time."

It would affect six to eight ranching families using the roadway, he said.

People use Lower Valley Road not only for ranch operations, said Minow, but also for scenic drives, school bus routes, horseback riding, biking, jogging and walking. It's where they teach their kids to drive.

Also at issue is the historic "red bridge" that residents have rallied to save in the past along the relocation alternative's route. It's a 'avorite local swimming nole and fishing spot. Minow said she thinks the plans threaten the bridge; Ebert said a parallel bridge may be built.

The Elkhorn Working Group went on record with 1 May 18 letter of opposition to the proposed route change.

The group, which was formed to promote land stewardship and local economies, asks MDT "to abandon further consideration of the proposed alternative of Highway 69."

The proposed change would increase the number of collisions between cars



IR photo by Marga Lincelon Highway 69 has little to no shoulder, creating safety hazards. This also makes it difficult for

and both livestock and wildlife, the letter states, because the Boulder River is a main water source.

law enforcement officers to pull over speeding vehicles.

The proposal could create other unintended effects, according to the letter.

"If ranches are not economically viable then the trend is to create subdivisions. Subdivisions in turn cause habitat fragmentation and the loss of animal populations," it states,

The project and issues

The total project encompasses about 15 miles, between mile posts 22 and 37.

MDT reports that 106 accidents have been recorded along that stretch of road from Jan. 1, 1994, to Dec. 31, 2003.

Daily traffic volumes are 1,500 to 1,600 per day, with 17 percent of this trucks, according to Ebert.

Accident severity in this section rates 30 percent greater than the statewide average for the state's rural highway system, according to an MDT field report.

And the truck crash severity rate is 70 percent greater than the statewide average for state rural primary highway systems.

MDT faults the outdated and substandard road design for a series of accident clusters. About seven miles of road would be widening the existing road. The remaining eight miles would be either on the existing roadbed or relocated.

The cost is estimated at \$16 million to \$17 million for either proposal.

Money still has to be allocated for the project, said Ebert. It is at its very beginning stages. The earliest that construction could start would be 2008.

The issue of speed

However, for some, the speed limit presents a bigger safety issue than the road design.

The road's speed limit, set by the Legislature, is 70 mph for cars and 60 for trucks.

However, there is little police enforcement and trucks consistently exceed the posted speed and barrel past vehicles even in nonpassing zones, said rancher Randy Kirk.

Enforcement could conceivably improve with the addition of road shoulders, so the highway patrol would have some place to pull over trucks, said Ebert.

Preferred by trucks

Residents would like semis to use Interstate 15, which was built for that purpose, said Kirk.

MDT can't close Highway 69 to trucks, Ebert said, because it is a primary highway eligible for federal funding. Such highways must be open to trucks,

Highway 69 has become a preferred route for trucks, particularly those heading to Dillon, Idaho, Utah and Las Vegas, said Ebert.

"You don't have to cross the Continental Divide three different times, like you do on the Interstate," he explained. "It's got a flatter grade.

Although there's no permanent weigh station on Highway 69, another reason truckers like it, there is a temporary one that's used on occasion. This would be improved as part of the rebuild project.

Voicing concerns

"We want to get people out," said Ebert. "We're very open to hearing their concerns, comments and questions."

Wdnesday's meeting will include a description of the project, a presentation of environmental concerns, and will be open for public comment. Individuals can talk with staff after the meeting about the project's impact on their property.

	T Ir.com	
NTANA NETANA Phe 120 he 120 he 120 he 120 he 120 he valley ci s reconstru- Highway 69 er. Hough it he though it he trate.		
TOWNSEND TOWNSEND TOWNSEND TOWNSEND The Montana mean of Transporta- olic meeting in Boul- ruice their concerns tr about MDT's pro- lans for safety ements. The some of the between mileposts of the highway across ther silternative the highway across ther silternative sther alternative the highway across the highway ac	Neigl	
• JEFFERSON CITY • JEFFERSON CITY • JEFFERSON CITY • Decision of the Boulder River and is bordered at times by either steep rock cliffs or wet- lands. Both alternatives are meant to address highway safety problems. Accident severity along this designated stretch of highway is 30 percent greater than the state vite greater than the state vite average for the state vite to a recent MDT field report. No citizens at the meeting favored relocating the high- way. A number of officens pre- fer doing nothing with the roadway. They asked instead for a lower speed limit and	Suogl	
o CLANCY Of Section 2015 diverting semi-trucks to the nearby Interstate highway People were very pas- sionate about their beliefs and wanting to keep this valley in a rural ranching type of environment," said bell Ebert, MDT Butte dis- trict engineer. They'd like it to remain very much like it was 100 years ago when ranchers first settled in the area, he said. He believes that safety improvements can be made to the road, while still main- laming the rural character of the read, while still main- laming the rural character of the valley. "A lot of the testimony focused on things that could be done right away," such as putting in a speed zone and		2
Since and the amount of truck the road they are developing their own. "If you make the road take out the safety alternatives which they'll submit to MDT. "If you make the road take out the safety attace our wes, you will actually make it less safe," she said because there will be more high-speed traffic.		
	A. 69 project largely opposite the solder River and is post-ment of Transports on pablic meeting in Boulder River and is post-mereting in public meeting in Boulder River and is post-mereting in Boulder River and is broadered at times by either in public meeting in Boulders, and hear about MDT's propose option would wider in the joad, and shoulders, and the grade for the solut and the post integrated and shoulders, and straighten some of the grade for the states inghway is 30 percent fund the bounder alternative is a proven multiply Road, a two of the states in th	$\begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$

·. ·

1

. -)

Highway: EA should take 12-18 months

continued from 1E

Moving the highway would negatively impact the rural lifestyle of the area, she said.

"Highway 69 is a gorgeous road, especially through the canyon," Minow said. "The trees and foliage in the fall are spectacular. I don't want to see the trees and vegetation stripped out of the area in order to make the road a big wide expanse of pavement."

Former county commissioner Sam Sampson testified that the county, years ago, asked for a lower speed limit, better enforcement of speed limits, and the construction of a permanent weigh station for trucks. None of these requests have been done, he said,

The highway has become a preferred route for trucks heading to Dillon, Idaho and Utah because it has a flatter grade and does not cross the Continental Divide three times, like the Interstate does.

It's widely believed the trucks also use the route to avoid weigh stations.

MDT can't keep trucks off the highway, Ebert said, because it's a state primary highway eligible for federal dollars. There are also problems with beefing up law enforcement, he said. At this time, the lack of shoulders makes it difficult for officers to pull over speeders.

MDT will continue to accept public comment until July 15 on this stage of the project, said Ebert.

All of the comment becomes part of the public record for the environmental assessment, which should be completed over the next 12 to 18 months.

When a draft of it is available, another public meeting will be held to take additional public comment.

When the draft environmental assessment is released it will recommend one of three things Ebert said:

A finding that the recommended alternative (whatever it is) will cause no significant environmental impact;

A recommendation that the preferred alternative requires a full environmental impact statement report; or

A "no build" recommendation, which leaves the road as it is.

Ebert said he cannot recall any recent studies recommending a "no build" option.