Community Comment Matrix (8/10/2012 to 8/31/2012)

Date of Comment (sender)	Comment Identifier	Response
August 16, 2012 (Julie Johnston)		
	Highway 2 Please provide your comments below: Refuse Speed into Culbertson from the West Sooner Asso put Runble 5 trips across in coming lune to get the attention of drivers to 5100 Down - Speed limit signs are not working. Portion on Highway 2 South of Football Sield Needs to be NO Parting from approximately Schools reader board sign to the corner (west) as touchs part there and you can not see to pull out from the stop sign on the OLD Highway by UAL Am. Reduce speed healing south at of Celluton town towns Sidney Such as 25 mph till the growd Rail them 35 mph till after approve past the Ridrard bridge them to 45 mph till just after the end the govern Rail - Stong 55 mph until your bridge them to 45 mph till you to a full speed Address: PO But 793 Zone of 60 mph for toucks Reverse the speeds for Culbertson MT 57218 Reverse the speeds for Coming into Culbertson MT 57218 From Silney on Highway 16	Thank you for your comment. Montana Transportation Commission has the authority to change speed limits. MDT will conduct a speed study and make recommendations to the Transportation Commission as appropriate, once a formal request has been submitted by the local governing body. Rumble strips were not considered as part of this project, as this location does not meet MDT guidelines for the installation of transverse rumble strips. The Town of Culbertson has jurisdiction over parking. If parking ordinances are adopted, MDT will assist with signing on state maintained routes.

Thank you for your comment. The 7 th Street Couplet and the 8 th Street Couplet options will not be advanced as recommended improvement options due to impacts to residential streets, school property and public opposition. The options have been moved from Section 5.1 – Recommended Improvement Options on Existing Network to Section 5.2 – Improvement Options Considered But Not Advanced.
T C re ir a re S C to S

(Tara Nickoloff) Please provide your comments below: 7th and 8th Street couplets are not viable This would direct fast and dangerous traffic Three residential areas as well as excerner the school to more the gootball and track Name: /ara Nickolo Culbertson tarabulle @ yahoo

August 16, 2012

Thank you for your comment.

The 7th Street Couplet and the 8th Street Couplet options will not be advanced as recommended improvement options due to impacts to residential streets, school property and public opposition. The options have been moved from

Section 5.1 – Recommended Improvement
Options on Existing Network
to

Section 5.2 – Improvement Options Considered But Not Advanced.

To be consistent with the regional *TRED Study* and the *Culbertson – East to North Dakota Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact,* an improved two-lane was not considered as part of this project.

Please provide you	ur comments below:
We don't feel that the 72 would not be a feasible being a residential area to ER/ ambulance koutes,	R + 8th Street Couplets
would not be a feasible	option due toit
being a residential area u	sith Hospital/ Clinical
ER/ambulance kontes.	/
The immediate removal of	trucks off from
The immediate removal of main street for safety is	very impodant.
J. J	
Street lights near sapos	I and inter sections
Street lights near school are also neaded.	
Marin and ment histo	but out dromall
Moving speed signs furthe directions.	
achecions,	
	-
Nan	ne: Don 4Myrna OelKers
	ress: <u>P. O Box 521</u> 23, 2nd Ave. E. Libertson, MT 59218
Ema	
13116	

Thank you for your comment.

The 7th Street Couplet and the 8th Street Couplet options will not be advanced as recommended improvement options due to impacts to residential streets, school property and public opposition. The options have been moved from Section 5.1 – Recommended Improvement Options on Existing Network to Section 5.2 – Improvement Options
Considered But Not Advanced.

Although improvement options have the potential to improve safety throughout the corridor, alternate truck routes were investigated to reduce truck traffic through Culbertson. Presently, there is no funding identified for the recommended alternate route improvement option. If funding has been identified, project development could move forward.

Street lighting at the current crosswalk along US 2 has been added to Improvement Option Number 2: Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon. Any additional street lighting would be addressed during design level, if a project is forwarded from this study.

Montana Transportation Commission has the authority to change speed limits. MDT will conduct a speed study and make recommendations to the Transportation Commission as appropriate, once a formal request has been submitted by the local governing body.

Please provide your comments below: o New! A New Speed stroy Move 25 out o Herveel helpwish funding! Name: Dolder OE/KS135 Address: B. 6272 Email: 90 Now @ Nemot Net

August 16, 2012 (Gordon Oelkers)

Thank you for your comment.

The 7th Street Couplet and the 8th Street Couplet options will not be advanced as recommended improvement options due to impacts to residential streets, school property and public opposition. The options have been moved from

Section 5.1 – Recommended Improvement
Options on Existing Network

Section 5.2 – Improvement Options Considered But Not Advanced.

Alternate Route #5 is the recommended alternate route improvement option for this Study.

Montana Transportation Commission has the authority to change speed limits. MDT will conduct a speed study and make recommendations to the Transportation Commission as appropriate, once a formal request has been submitted by the local governing body.

Potential funding sources have been included in Chapter 7 of this document.

August 16, 2012 (Robert C. Petersen)	
Please provide your comments below: an absolutely approved to a 7th 2 keet law adamently approved to a 7th 2 keet leupkt and an B+h street couplet, 5 trongly support alternat low t pol. 5. and would like to see it pull with a rout got the 05 the failpoind track s to the west Name: floofate floorate Address: 712 31d Are Egst Colbert son Email: Ic proaching approvious	Thank you for your comment. Alternate Route #5 is the recommended alternate route improvement option for this Study. The 7 th Street Couplet and the 8 th Street Couplet options will not be advanced as recommended improvement options due to impacts to residential streets, school property and public opposition. The options have been moved from Section 5.1 – Recommended Improvement Options on Existing Network to Section 5.2 – Improvement Options Considered But Not Advanced. The County Road 2059 Realignment improvement options calls for a realignment of the existing county road to address truck traffic demands. This improvement option is on a route owned and maintained by the county, and thus the County has implementation responsibility.

August 16, 2012 (Clay Petersen)		
	Please provide your comments below: Desinatly against the 7th Are & 8th Are Counter option 5! Recommend option 5 as most sensable. Name: Clay Planson Address: 5557 RD 1018 — Collection, 111 59218 Email:	Thank you for your comment. The 7 th Street Couplet and the 8 th Street Couplet options will not be advanced as recommended improvement options due to impacts to residential streets, school property and public opposition. The options have been moved from Section 5.1 – Recommended Improvement Options on Existing Network to Section 5.2 – Improvement Options Considered But Not Advanced. Alternate Route #5 is the recommended alternate route improvement option for this Study.

ugust 16, 2012 Ferril Raaum)	
Please provide your comments below: When The New prock Route, Soull I do NOT agroe with abondary Hwy 16 Through Cultouts on the Over face. The State Doubt Transportation should take care of both roads (New told) for Snow to Andrews: Name: TERRIC Roam Address: Email:	Thank you for your comment. If funding becomes available and the alternate truck route is forwarded to a design project, the County and MDT will discussion and determine the outcome of the current segment of Highway 16.

August 16, 2012 (Ramona Ross)		
	Please provide your comments below: Alternate Route & Souks good. Need Sooner the best. Need to slow east bound traffic as it Comes into town, especially by school. Name: Address: Cullutur	Thank you for your comment. Alternate Route #5 is the recommended alternate route improvement option for this Study. Presently, there is no funding identified for the recommended alternate route improvement option. If funding has been identified, project development could move forward. Montana Transportation Commission has the authority to change speed limits. MDT will conduct a speed study and make recommendations to the Transportation Commission as appropriate, once a formal request has been submitted by the local governing body.
	Email:	

August 16, 2012 (Anonymous)		
	Please provide your comments below: 1) Hove considerations on 142 been looked at one future survey extension at airport which will reppen in future: 2) Complet aptions need to go 3.) "5 option has a good one. Name:	Thank you for your comment. Alternate Route #5 is the recommended alternate route improvement option for this Study. The 7 th Street Couplet and the 8 th Street Couplet options will not be advanced as recommended improvement options due to impacts to residential streets, school property and public opposition. The options have been moved from Section 5.1 – Recommended Improvement Options on Existing Network to Section 5.2 – Improvement Options Considered But Not Advanced.
	Address:	

Email: ____

(Anonymous)			
(Anonymous)	Please provide you safety of school studensT = us 2 No complet please! Bull out sounds good.	*	Thank you for your comment. Montana Transportation Commission has the authority to change speed limits. MDT will conduct a speed study and make recommendations to the Transportation Commission as appropriate, once a formal request has been submitted by the local governing body. The 7 th Street Couplet and the 8 th Street Couplet options will not be advanced as recommended improvement options due to impacts to residential streets, school property and public opposition. The options have been moved from
	V15-0/25-05	e:	Section 5.1 – Recommended Improvement Options on Existing Network to Section 5.2 – Improvement Options Considered But Not Advanced.

August 17, 2012 (W Bruce Houle)

From: W Bruce Houle [mailto:wbhoule@hotmail.com]

Sent: Friday, August 17, 2012 8:26 AM To: Turner, Grey L.; W Bruce Houle

Subject: Culbertson Corridor Planning Study Comment Period

Grey,

Thanks for taking time all these months to develop and complete this study for Culbertson. At this time I would like to offer my comments on the options made available to Culbertson on both Improvement Options and Potential Alternate Truck Route Options.

Potential Truck Route Options:

I do favor the study's final choice on possible truck routes between Hwy #2 and Hwy # 16 being - Alternate Route # 5.

Improvement Options # 1-15

I favor work to continue on Improvement Options #1,2,3,4,9,10,13,14,15

I do not favor Improvement Option #5 (bulb-out - to me bulb- outs can be a road hazard)

I do not favor Improvement Option #8 - MT 16 (North) Realignment - I believe this project should be left until TR Expressway is allowed to be fully studied. As TRE has already choose Hwy #16 for a 4 lane roadway to Canada, I feel a study should be allowed to determine if the existing roadway is what is needed or if this roadway needs to be aligned with Hwy 16 (South).

I also favor holding off on Improvement Options # 6 & 7 , until Improvement # 8 has been fully studied.

I do favor Improvement Option # 10 - Four Lane Hwy # 2 - and as soon as possible, with the understanding

this roadway would be completed west of Culbertson, past school vs stopping at Hwy # 16 North.

Thanks

W Bruce Houle Culbertson Chamber of Commerce Culbertson Town Council Thank you for your comment.

Alternate Route #5 is the recommended alternate route improvement option for this Study.

Presently, there is no funding identified for the recommended alternate route improvement option. As funding becomes available, project development would follow MDT guidelines, including a public involvement process and environmental documentation.

August 24, 2012 (Larry Bergum)

From: laura bergum [mailto:bergum2010@gmail.com]

Sent: Friday, August 24, 2012 11:54 AM To: cstrizich@mt.gov; Turner, Grey L. Subject: Culbertson Corridor Study

As a resident of Culbertson, I would like to give my opinion on the truck bypass.

I am fully in support of the bypass route which would run south of the present overpass and go north to Highway #2. You are aware that the present route through town poses a hazard due to three 90 degree corners and school crossings. This truck bypass should not have an adverse effect on the survival of Culbertson. Occasionally, a truck may stop to get a tire repaired or to get fuel and food; but they can always detour into Culbertson when necessary.

With the help of our Congressional Delegation, is it possible to put a bypass on the fast track? This would eliminate the difficulty and dangers of truck traffic going through town. We should follow North Dakota's example of fast tracking a bypass around Williston, ND.

Thank you,

Larry Bergum 101 8th St. E. Culbertson, MT. 59218 Ph. # 406-787-5535 Thank you for your comment.

Alternate Route #5 is the recommended alternate route improvement option for this Study.

There is no funding currently identified for the recommended alternate route improvement option. If funding has been identified, project development could move forward.