

MT 78 Corridor Study



February 2008

Montana Department of Transportation



TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	III
1.0 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 BACKGROUND.....	1
1.2 STUDY AREA.....	1
1.3 PLANNING HORIZON.....	2
1.4 PURPOSE OF THE MT 78 CORRIDOR STUDY.....	2
1.5 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES OF THE MONTANA 78 CORRIDOR STUDY	3
1.6 ORGANIZATION OF THE PLAN.....	3
2.0 PUBLIC PROCESS AND AGENCY COORDINATION	5
2.1 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT ACTIVITIES	5
2.2 AGENCY COORDINATION	6
3.0 EXISTING SOCIAL, ECONOMIC, AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS.....	7
3.1 ENVIRONMENTAL, CULTURAL, AND AESTHETIC RESOURCES.....	7
3.2 COMMUNITY DEMOGRAPHICS	20
3.3 OTHER STUDIES, PLANS, AND REGULATIONS.....	23
4.0 EXISTING TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM	25
4.1 HIGHWAY CHARACTERISTICS.....	25
4.2 TRAFFIC CONDITIONS.....	31
4.3 MULTI-MODAL TRANSPORTATION.....	38
4.4 AREA PROJECTS	39
4.5 SUMMARY OF EXISTING GEOMETRIC ISSUES AND IDENTIFIED IMPROVEMENT NEEDS	40
5.0 TRANSPORTATION FORECASTS	42
5.1 POPULATION PROJECTIONS	42
5.2 TRAFFIC PROJECTIONS	42
6.0 IMPROVEMENT OPTIONS ANALYSIS.....	44
6.1 IMPROVEMENT OPTIONS.....	45
6.2 ROSCOE HILL ALIGNMENT OPTIONS	57
7.0 DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	62
7.1 CORRIDOR GOALS AND OBJECTIVES	62
7.2 PROJECT PROGRAMMING.....	62
7.3 RECONSTRUCTION.....	63
7.4 SPOT IMPROVEMENTS.....	71
7.5 SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS.....	77

TABLES

TABLE 3-1	POTENTIAL WETLAND AREAS	10
TABLE 3-2	FISH SPECIES PRESENT IN THE COORIDOR	12
TABLE 3-3	THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES.....	13
TABLE 3-4	STATE SPECIES OF CONCERN	14
TABLE 3-5	SUMMARY OF POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS	19
TABLE 4-1	VERTICAL CURVES EXCEEDING MAXIMUM VERTICAL GRADE OF SEVEN PERCENT	26
TABLE 4-2	HORIZONTAL CURVES SHARPER THAN MINIMUM RADIUS OF 1,200 FEET	27
TABLE 4-3	CREST HILLS FAILING TO MEET MINIMUM K VALUE OF 151	28
TABLE 4-4	SAG CURVES FAILING TO MEET MINIMUM K VALUE OF 136.....	29
TABLE 4-5	ROADWAY SEGMENTS WITH THREE OR MORE CRASHES PER HALF MILE	32
TABLE 5-1	GROWTH RATES AND PROJECTED AADT FOR THE MT 78 CORRIDOR, 1970 – 2004.....	43
TABLE 7-1	2006 PLANNING-LEVEL COST ESTIMATES FOR RECONSTRUCTION.....	65
TABLE 7-2	PLANNING-LEVEL COST ESTIMATES FOR RECONSTRUCTION OVER 20-YEAR PLANNING HORIZON.....	66
TABLE 7-3	RECOMMENDED SPOT IMPROVEMENTS.....	73
TABLE 7-4	PLANNING-LEVEL COST ESTIMATES FOR SPOT IMPROVEMENTS OVER 20-YEAR PLANNING HORIZON	74

FIGURES

FIGURE 1.1	PROJECT AREA.....	1
FIGURE 3.1	LAND OWNERSHIP IN THE MT 78 CORRIDOR	8
FIGURE 3.2	WATER BODY CROSSINGS IN THE MT 78 CORRIDOR	9
FIGURE 3.3	WILDLIFE CROSSINGS IN THE MT 78 CORRIDOR.....	13
FIGURE 3.4	HISTORIC SITES IN THE MT 78 CORRIDOR	15
FIGURE 3.5	PRIME FARMLAND	17
FIGURE 3.6	CARBON COUNTY POPULATION, 1900 – 2000.....	20
FIGURE 3.7	CARBON COUNTY POPULATION BY AGE, 1990 – 2000.....	21
FIGURE 3.8	CARBON COUNTY HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 1990 – 2000	21
FIGURE 3.9	PLACE OF RESIDENCE AND EMPLOYMENT	22
FIGURE 4.1	EXISTING ROADWAY WIDTH	30
FIGURE 4.2	GEOMETRIC AND OPERATIONAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE MT 78 CORRIDOR.....	33
FIGURE 5.1	CARBON COUNTY POPULATION PROJECTIONS, 2000 – 2025.....	42
FIGURE 7.1	NEEDED CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENTS	64
FIGURE 7.2	EXISTING AND PROPOSED ROADWAY WIDTH	67

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A:	PUBLIC COMMENTS RECEIVED
APPENDIX B:	PROJECT NEWSLETTERS
APPENDIX C:	LETTERS RECEIVED FROM STATE AND FEDERAL AGENCIES
APPENDIX D:	CRASH ANALYSIS
APPENDIX E:	DETAILED COSTS AND COST DERIVATIONS
APPENDIX F:	ACCESS MANAGEMENT STUDY RECOMMENDATIONS