







## I-94 Rest Area Corridor Study Public Information Meeting

Tuesday, September 15, 2009











## **Welcome & Introductions**

- Sheila Ludlow, MDT
- Jim Frank, MDT
- Sarah Nicolai, DOWL HKM
- Jessica Salo, DOWL HKM









## **Purpose of Meeting**

- Present Findings of I-94 Rest Area Corridor Study
- Discuss Fort Keogh Rest Area Proposal
- Solicit Public Comment







**Rest Area** 

Corridor

Study

REST

### **Study Area**

DOWL HKM

I-90 from Big Timber to Columbus in addition to the segment of I-94 from Billings to Miles City.









**Rest Area** 

Corridor

Study

REST

ARE

### **Study Area**

Existing rest areas include the eastbound (EB) and westbound (WB) Greycliff, Custer, Hysham, and Hathaway rest areas.







DOWL HKM



**Rest Area** 

Corridor

Study

# Methodology

### Usage

- Automatic traffic recorders on I-90/I-94
- AASHTO formulas to estimate usage
- Compound annual growth rate of 3.5% over 20 years
- MDT 2010 research project to identify rest area usage more accurately

#### Year 2027 Projected Rest Area Usage

Rest Area Site	Total # of Vehicles per Hour	# of Cars and Buses per Hour	# of Trucks per Hour	
Greycliff	168	124	44	
Custer	65	46	19	
Hysham	75	58	17	
Hathaway	74	54	20	











# Methodology

Water Facilities

Quantity



Irrigation Demand (area & NRCS consumptive use) - Total Water Usage

- Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology (MBMG) Ground Water Information Center (GWIC) well log information
- Compare well log capacities to estimated usage

#### Quality

- DEQ is regulatory agency
- Currently most sites require minimal treatment
- Proper well construction and location
- DEQ Public Water Supply records for sampling results











# Methodology

Wastewater Facilities

#### **Existing Systems**

- Septic tank & gravity-fed drainfield
- DEQ guidelines for evaluating size
- High strength waste composition

#### **Proposed Systems**

OWL HKM

- Detailed site investigation
- Advanced wastewater treatment systems
- Preliminary calculations & configuration of new advanced systems
- Bi-directional wastewater systems

#### Hathaway Eastbound



#### Hathaway Westbound











# Methodology

### Building and Parking Facilities Parking Spaces

• Based on projected rest area usage and average length of stay

#### **Building Facilities**

- Building expansion based on the need for additional restroom stalls
- Stalls calculated based on restroom users per vehicle and estimated time cycle per fixture

#### Year 2027 Projected Parking and Building Facilities

Rest Area Site	Parking Spaces		Restroom Stalls		
	Auto	Truck	Men	Women	
Greycliff	56	24	5	8	
Custer	21	10	2	3	
Hysham	26	9	2	4	
Hathaway	24	11	2	4	





**Rest Area** 

Corridor

**Study** 

RES1

## **Greycliff Rest Area**

#### **Summary of Findings**

Site	Parameter					
	Building and Parking Facilities	Spacing	Water, Sewer and Power Facilities	Right-of-Way	Recommendation and Timeframe	Approximate Cost
EB	Existing building and parking facilities are undersized to meet current demand	<ul> <li>Wells have adequate capacity to meet 2027 demand</li> <li>Water quality is satisfactory</li> </ul>	One additional acre needed to meet 2027 parking demand	Consider major rehabilitation of EB	\$3.5 million	
WB		Spacing is appropriate	<ul> <li>Existing septic tanks and drainfields are undersized to meet current demand</li> <li>Existing grid power is sufficient</li> </ul>	Two additional acres needed to accommodate 2027 wastewater system	and WB sites in the near term to meet current demand	\$4 million

Note: Dark orange cells indicate failure to meet current demand or spacing guidelines.

Light orange cells indicate failure to meet future demand.







**Rest Area** 

Corridor

**Study** 

REST

### **Custer Rest Area**

#### **Summary of Findings**

Site	Parameter					
	Building and Parking Facilities	Spacing	Water, Sewer and Power Facilities	Right-of-Way	Recommendation and Timeframe	Approximate Cost
EB	Existing parking facilities are undersized to meet 2027 demand	Spacing is appropriate	<ul> <li>Wells have adequate capacity to meet 2027 demand</li> <li>Water quality is satisfactory</li> </ul>	No additional right-of-way needed	Rehabilitate existing EB and WB sites; consider new advanced wastewater treatment systems; convert sites to year round use.	\$800,000
WB			<ul> <li>Existing drainfields are undersized to meet 2027 demand</li> <li>Existing grid power is sufficient</li> </ul>			\$700,000

Note: Light orange cells indicate failure to meet future demand.









**Rest Area** 

Corridor

**Study** 

RES1

### **Hysham Rest Area**

#### **Summary of Findings**

Site	Parameter					
	Building and Parking Facilities	Spacing	Water, Sewer and Power Facilities	Right-of-Way	Recommendation and Timeframe	Approximate Cost
EB	EB Existing parking facilities are undersized to meet 2027 demand Hysham is excessively close to Custer (25 miles)		Wells do not have adequate capacity to meet 2027 demand	No additional right-of-way needed (assuming conversion to truck parking location)	Convert to truck parking location Demolish existing facilities and install vault toilets	\$200,000
		Hysham is excessively close to Custer (25 miles)	<ul> <li>Water quality is satisfactory</li> </ul>			
WB			Existing septic tanks and drainfields are undersized to meet 2027 demand			\$200,000
			Existing grid     power is sufficient			

Note: Dark orange cells indicate failure to meet current demand or spacing guidelines.

Light orange cells indicate failure to meet future demand.







**Rest Area** 

Corridor

**Study** 

RES1

## Hathaway Rest Area

#### **Summary of Findings**

Site	Parameter					
	Building and Parking Facilities	Spacing	Water, Sewer and Power Facilities	Right-of-Way	Recommendation and Timeframe	Approximate Cost
EB	Existing facilities are undersized to meet 2027 demand	<ul> <li>Existing water supply is unable to meet landscaping needs</li> </ul>		Provide water system	\$1.1 million	
		Existing facilities are undersized o meet 2027 demand	<ul> <li>Water quality is satisfactory</li> </ul>	No additional right-of-way needed	Rehabilitate remaining facilities over 20-year planning horizon as funding becomes available	
WB			<ul> <li>Existing septic tanks are undersized to meet 2027 demand</li> </ul>			\$1.1 million
			Existing grid power is sufficient			

Note: Dark orange cells indicate failure to meet current demand or spacing guidelines.

Light orange cells indicate failure to meet future demand.











## **Existing Rest Areas**

Summary of Findings

Greycliff

Rehabilitate in the near term

- Custer Rehabilitate over 20-year planning horizon
- <u>Hysham</u>

Convert to truck parking location in near term

<u>Hathaway</u>

Rehabilitate water system in near term; address other facilities over 20-year planning horizon











# Fort Keogh Proposal

**Summary of Findings** 

- New rest area not needed from spacing perspective
- New construction more costly than rehabilitation of existing sites
- Proposal Not Recommended in Corridor Study
- Funding could be pursued at local level if there is strong local support





Corridor

Study

I-94 • Yo Rest Area P





## **Next Steps**

• Your input is important!

### Please submit comments:

- At the public meeting
- Mail to Sheila Ludlow, MDT, PO Box 201001, Helena, MT 59620-1001
- Email to sludlow@mt.gov
- Submit comments online at www.mdt.mt.gov/pubinvolve/i94restarea/

### Submit comments by September 25, 2009.

• Finalize Study

