

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS	vii
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	xi
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 BACKGROUND.....	1
1.2 STUDY AREA	1
1.3 CLASSIFICATION OF US 93.....	5
1.4 PURPOSE OF THE US 93 CORRIDOR STUDY	5
1.5 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES OF THE US 93 CORRIDOR STUDY	6
1.6 ORGANIZATION OF THE DOCUMENT.....	7
2.0 PUBLIC PROCESS AND AGENCY COORDINATION	9
2.1 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT ACTIVITIES	9
2.2 AGENCY COORDINATION	11
3.0 EXISTING SOCIAL, ECONOMIC, AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS ...	13
3.1 ENVIRONMENTAL, CULTURAL, AND AESTHETIC RESOURCES	13
3.2 EXISTING SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC CONDITIONS	53
3.3 PLANS AND REGULATIONS.....	58
4.0 EXISTING TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM	65
4.1 HIGHWAY FEATURES AND CHARACTERISTICS	65
4.2 GEOMETRIC ASSESSMENT	66
4.3 CRASH ASSESSMENT.....	77
4.4 TRAFFIC CONDITIONS	87
4.5 SUMMARY OF EXISTING GEOMETRIC AND OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS	101
4.6 MULTI-MODAL TRANSPORTATION	102
4.7 AREA PROJECTS.....	105
5.0 TRANSPORTATION FORECASTS	107
5.1 DEMOGRAPHIC AND LAND USE PROJECTIONS.....	107
5.2 TRAFFIC PROJECTIONS	109
6.0 IMPROVEMENT OPTIONS ANALYSIS	119
6.1 IMPROVEMENT OPTIONS	119
6.2 EVALUATION OF IMPROVEMENT OPTIONS.....	150
7.0 DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	185
7.1 POTENTIAL FUNDING SOURCES.....	186
7.2 OPTIONS CURRENTLY NOT ADVANCED	196
7.3 RECOMMENDED NEAR-TERM OPTIONS.....	197
7.4 RECOMMENDED MID-TERM OPTIONS	203
7.5 RECOMMENDED LONG-TERM OPTIONS.....	204
7.6 SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS	205

TABLES

Table 3.1	4(f) Resources within Project Area.....	15
Table 3.2	6(f) Resources within Project Area.....	16
Table 3.3	Surface Water Resources in the US 93 Study Area.....	22
Table 3.4	303(d) Listed Water Bodies in Study Area.....	25
Table 3.5	Wetlands in the US 93 Corridor.....	31
Table 3.6	RCRA Sites in Study Area.....	36
Table 3.7	LUST Sites in Study Area.....	39
Table 3.8	Tank Release Sites in the Missoula County section of the US 93 Study Area.....	40
Table 3.9	Mines in the US 93 Corridor.....	40
Table 3.10	Signs of Wildlife Observed During Field Reconnaissance.....	41
Table 3.11	Federally Listed ESA Species.....	44
Table 3.12	Noxious Weed Species Known or Reported to Occur in the Study Area.....	48
Table 3.13	NRHP Eligible Sites within the US 93 Corridor	52
Table 3.14	Regional Population Profile (2005)	53
Table 3.15	Catchment Area Population Profile (2005).....	53
Table 3.16	Catchment Area Employment Profile (2005)	54
Table 3.17	Catchment Area Density Profile (2005)	54
Table 4.1	Horizontal Curve Sharper Than Recommended Minimum Radius.....	66
Table 4.2	Required Range for Horizontal Radius and Rates of Superelevation for Design Speeds of 60 and 70 mph	67
Table 4.3	Horizontal Curves Failing to Meet Required Amount of Superelevation	67
Table 4.4	Grades Exceeding Maximum Vertical Grade Recommended for Terrain.....	68
Table 4.5	Projected Number of Crashes Expected to Occur Based on Statewide Average Crash Rate.....	77
Table 4.6	Collisions with Wild Animals (2002 – 2006).....	81
Table 4.7	Roadkill Recovery (2002 – 2006).....	83
Table 4.8	Collisions at or near Intersections (2002 – 2006).....	85
Table 4.9	Existing AADT (2004)	87
Table 4.10	Existing AADT (2007)	89
Table 4.11	LOS Criteria for Multilane Highways	90
Table 4.12	Example Service Volumes for Multilane Highways	91
Table 4.13	Intersection LOS Criteria.....	94
Table 4.14	Existing (2007) Overall Intersection LOS	95
Table 4.15	Existing (2007) Worst Approach LOS	98
Table 5.1	Catchment Area Population Profile (2005 and 2030).....	107
Table 5.2	Catchment Area Employment Profile (2005 and 2030)	107
Table 5.3	Existing and Projected AADT (2007 and 2030).....	109
Table 5.4	Projected Overall Intersection LOS (2030)	112
Table 5.5	Projected Worst Approach LOS (2030).....	115
Table 6.1	Intersection of Blue Mountain Road / US 93 (2030).....	135
Table 6.2	Intersection of Ridgeway Drive / US 93 (2030).....	135
Table 6.3	Intersection of Tyler Way / US 93 (2030)	136
Table 6.4	Intersection of Lewis & Clark Drive / US 93 (2030).....	137
Table 6.5	Intersection of US 12 / US 93 (2030)	138
Table 6.6	Intersection of Mormon Creek / US 93 (2030).....	138

Table 6.7	Intersection of Highway 203 / US 93 (2030).....	139
Table 6.8	Summary of Policy Tools and Parties Responsible for Implementation	149
Table 6.9	Screening of Options Adding Travel Lanes on US 93	152
Table 6.10	Screening of Options Adding HOV Lanes on US 93	156
Table 6.11	Screening of Bypass Options	158
Table 6.12	Screening of Lolo Options	160
Table 6.13	Potential Ridership within US 93 Study Area	161
Table 6.14	Screening of Enhanced Vanpool / Carpool Programs, Improved Park & Ride Facilities, and Fixed Route Bus Service	163
Table 6.15	Screening of Passenger Rail Option	164
Table 6.16	Screening of Bike Lanes	165
Table 6.17	Screening of Separated Bicycle / Pedestrian Path	166
Table 6.18	Example Service Volumes for a Class I Two-Lane Rural Highway	168
Table 6.19	Screening of Super Two with Roundabouts	169
Table 6.20	Screening of Two HOV Lanes within Existing Lane Structure	170
Table 6.21	Screening of Center Reversible HOV Lane within Existing Lane Structure	172
Table 6.22	Screening of Center Reversible Travel Lane within Existing Lane Structure ...	174
Table 6.23	Screening of Grade Separation Option	176
Table 6.24	Screening of Frontage Roads / Connecting Local Roadway Networks	178
Table 6.25	Summary of Changes Resulting from Intersection Spot Improvements	179
Table 6.26	Screening of Intersection Spot Improvements	181
Table 6.27	Screening of Other Spot Improvements.....	182
Table 6.28	Screening of Policy Tools.....	183
Table 6.29	Results of Improvement Option Screening	184
Table 7.1	Recommended Near-Term Transit / Multi-Modal Options.....	198
Table 7.2	Planning-Level Cost Estimates for Near-Term Transit / Multi-Modal Options over 20-Year Planning Horizon.....	199
Table 7.3	Recommended Spot Improvements	200
Table 7.4	Planning-Level Cost Estimates for Spot Improvements over 20-Year Planning Horizon	200
Table 7.5	Recommended Policy Tools	202
Table 7.6	Recommended Mid-Term Transit Option	203
Table 7.7	Planning-Level Cost Estimates for Mid-Term Transit Option over 20-Year Planning Horizon	203
Table 7.8	Summary of Recommended Improvement Options	207

FIGURES

Figure 1-1	Study Area	1
Figure 1-2	Transit Analysis Study Area	2
Figure 1-3	Environmental Scan Study Area.....	4
Figure 3-1	Land Ownership.....	14
Figure 3-2	Missoula County Zoning Districts.....	17
Figure 3-3	Missoula City Zoning Districts.....	19
Figure 3-4	Prime Farmland & Geology.....	21
Figure 3-5	Surface Water North Half	23

Figure 3-6	Surface Water South Half	24
Figure 3-7	Floodplains MP 69 – 75	27
Figure 3-8	Floodplains MP 75 – 80	28
Figure 3-9	Floodplains MP 80 – 85	29
Figure 3-10	Floodplains MP 85 – 91	30
Figure 3-11	Wetlands MP 69 – 75	32
Figure 3-12	Wetlands MP 75 – 80	33
Figure 3-13	Wetlands MP 80 – 85	34
Figure 3-14	Wetlands MP 85 – 91	35
Figure 3-15	Hazardous Material	37
Figure 3-16	Hazardous Material – Missoula	38
Figure 3-17	Wildlife Corridor Areas	43
Figure 3-18	Species of Concern – Wildlife	46
Figure 3-19	Species of Concern – Plants	47
Figure 3-20	Cultural Resources North Half	50
Figure 3-21	Cultural Resources South Half	51
Figure 3-22	Household Density within US 93 Catchment Area	55
Figure 3-23	Land Use within US 93 Catchment Area	57
Figure 4-1	Geometric Characteristics of the US 93 Corridor	71
Figure 4-2	Comparison of US 93 Crashes with Number of Crashes Corresponding to Statewide Average Crash Rate for Similar Rural and Urban Facilities	79
Figure 4-3	Collisions with Wild Animals (2002 – 2006)	82
Figure 4-4	Roadkill Recovery as Compared to Collisions with Wild Animals (2002 – 2006)	84
Figure 4-5	Collisions at or near Intersections (2002 – 2006)	86
Figure 4-6	Growth Rates in the US 93 Corridor	88
Figure 4-7	AM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes in the US 93 Corridor (2007)	92
Figure 4-8	PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes in the US 93 Corridor (2007)	93
Figure 4-9	Overall Intersection LOS – Existing Conditions AM Peak Hour	96
Figure 4-10	Overall Intersection LOS – Existing Conditions PM Peak Hour	97
Figure 4-11	Worst Approach LOS – Existing Conditions AM Peak Hour	99
Figure 4-12	Worst Approach LOS – Existing Conditions PM Peak Hour	100
Figure 5-1	AM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes in the US 93 Corridor (2030)	110
Figure 5-2	PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes in the US 93 Corridor (2030)	111
Figure 5-3	Overall Intersection LOS – 2030 AM Peak Hour	113
Figure 5-4	Overall Intersection LOS – 2030 PM Peak Hour	114
Figure 5-5	Worst Approach LOS – 2030 AM Peak Hour	116
Figure 5-6	Worst Approach LOS – 2030 PM Peak Hour	117
Figure 6-1	Option Adding Two New Travel Lanes	120
Figure 6-2	Option Adding Two New HOV Lanes	120
Figure 6-3	Elevated Expressway Configurations	121
Figure 6-4	Option Adding Two New Travel Lanes with Center Reversible HOV Lane	121
Figure 6-5	Two New Lanes, Center Reversible HOV Lane, and Junior Interchange	122
Figure 6-6	Two New Lanes, Center Reversible HOV Lane, and Full Interchange	123
Figure 6-7	Option Adding Single New Travel Lane with Center Reversible HOV Lanes ..	123
Figure 6-8	Option Adding Single New Travel Lane with Center Reversible Travel Lanes ..	124

Figure 6-9	General Location of Bypass Options	125
Figure 6-10	General Location of Lolo Options	126
Figure 6-11	Bike Lanes on US 93	128
Figure 6-12	Existing and Proposed Pathways Adjacent to US 93.....	128
Figure 6-13	Super Two Option	129
Figure 6-14	Single-Lane Roundabout	129
Figure 6-15	Two HOV Lanes within Existing Lane Structure.....	130
Figure 6-16	Center Reversible HOV Lane	130
Figure 6-17	Center Reversible HOV Lane and Junior Interchange	131
Figure 6-18	Center Reversible HOV Lane and Full Interchange	131
Figure 6-19	Center Reversible Lane.....	132
Figure 6-20	Depiction of Existing Access Configuration and Proposed Connecting Roadway System.....	133
Figure 6-21	Overall Intersection LOS – 2030 AM Peak Hour (with Intersection Improvements)	140
Figure 6-22	Overall Intersection LOS – 2030 PM Peak Hour (with Intersection Improvements)	141
Figure 6-23	Improvement Option Screening Process.....	150
Figure 6-24	RFTA Highway 82 System Map.....	154
Figure 6-25	Projected 2030 Volumes on US 93.....	167

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A:	STAKEHOLDERS AND ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERS
APPENDIX B:	COMMENTS RECEIVED DURING FINAL PUBLIC MEETINGS AND PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD, JULY 23, 2008 – SEPTEMBER 8, 2008
APPENDIX C:	NEWSLETTERS
APPENDIX D:	LETTERS RECEIVED FROM STATE AND FEDERAL AGENCIES
APPENDIX E:	MAP OF LOLO AREA LAND USES
APPENDIX F:	NON-ATTAINMENT AREAS
APPENDIX G:	ACCESS CONTROL REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS
APPENDIX H:	TRANSIT ANALYSIS
APPENDIX I:	DETAILED COSTS AND COST DERIVATIONS

This Page Intentionally Left Blank