#### APPENDIX A: DESCRIPTION OF VEHICLE CLASSES







Figure B-1. Percent Overweight Commercial Vehicles by Month at the Four Corners/ Gallatin *STARS* Site, Baseline and Focused Enforcement Year



Figure B-2. Percent Overweight Commercial Vehicles by Month at the **Ryegate** *STARS* Site, Baseline and Focused Enforcement Year



Figure B-3. Percent Overweight Commercial Vehicles by Month at the **Stanford** *STARS* Site, Baseline and Focused Enforcement Year



Figure B-4. Percent Overweight Commercial Vehicles by Month at the **Townsend** *STARS* Site, Baseline and Focused Enforcement Year



Figure B-5. Percent Overweight Commercial Vehicles by Month at the Arlee *STARS* Site, Baseline and Focused Enforcement Year



Figure B-6. Percent Overweight Commercial Vehicles by Month at the **Decker** *STARS* Site, Baseline and Focused Enforcement Year



Figure B-7. Percent Overweight Commercial Vehicles by Month at the **Manhattan** *STARS* Site, Baseline and Focused Enforcement Year



Figure B-8. Percent Overweight Commercial Vehicles by Month at the **Miles City East** *STARS* Site, Baseline and Focused Enforcement Year





Figure B-9. Percent Overweight Commercial Vehicles by Month at the Ulm *STARS* Site, Baseline and Focused Enforcement Year



Figure B-10. Percent Overweight Commercial Vehicles by Month at the **Broadview** *STARS* Site, Baseline and Focused Enforcement Year



Figure B-11. Percent Overweight Commercial Vehicles by Month at the **Culbertson** *STARS* Site, Baseline and Focused Enforcement Year



Figure B-12. Percent Overweight Commercial Vehicles by Month at the **Fort Benton** *STARS* Site, Baseline and Focused Enforcement Year



Figure B-13. Percent Overweight Commercial Vehicles by Month at the **Galen** *STARS* Site, Baseline and Focused Enforcement Year



Figure B-14. Percent Overweight Commercial Vehicles by Month at the **Havre East** *STARS* Site, Baseline and Focused Enforcement Year



Figure B-15. Percent Overweight Commercial Vehicles by Month at the Lima STARS Site, Baseline and Focused Enforcement Year



Figure B-16. Percent Overweight Commercial Vehicles by Month at the **Paradise** *STARS* Site, Baseline and Focused Enforcement Year



Figure C-1. Class 6 Gross Vehicle Weight Distributions at All *STARS* Sites with More than Six Months of Focused Enforcement, Baseline and Focused Enforcement Year



Figure C-2. Class 9 Gross Vehicle Weight Distributions at All *STARS* Sites with More than Six Months of Focused Enforcement, Baseline and Focused Enforcement Year



Figure C-3. Class 10 Gross Vehicle Weight Distributions at All *STARS* Sites with More than Six Months of Focused Enforcement, Baseline and Focused Enforcement Year



Figure C-4. Class 13 Gross Vehicle Weight Distributions at All *STARS* Sites with More than Six Months of Focused Enforcement, Baseline and Focused Enforcement Year



Figure C-5 Class 6 Gross Vehicle Weight Distributions at All *STARS* Sites with One to Six Months of Focused Enforcement, Baseline and Focused Enforcement Year



Figure C-6 Class 9 Gross Vehicle Weight Distributions at All *STARS* Sites with One to Six Months of Focused Enforcement, Baseline and Focused Enforcement Year



Figure C-7 Class 10 Gross Vehicle Weight Distributions at All *STARS* Sites with One to Six Months of Focused Enforcement, Baseline and Focused Enforcement Year



Figure C-8 Class 13 Gross Vehicle Weight Distributions at All *STARS* Sites with One to Six Months of Focused Enforcement, Baseline and Focused Enforcement Year



Figure C-9 Class 6 Gross Vehicle Weight Distributions at All *STARS* Sites not Selected for Focused Enforcement, Baseline and Focused Enforcement Year



Figure C-10 Class 9 Gross Vehicle Weight Distributions at All *STARS* Sites not Selected for Focused Enforcement, Baseline and Focused Enforcement Year



Figure C-11 Class 10 Gross Vehicle Weight Distributions at All *STARS* Sites not Selected for Focused Enforcement, Baseline and Focused Enforcement Year



Figure C-12 Class 13 Gross Vehicle Weight Distributions at All *STARS* Sites not Selected for Focused Enforcement, Baseline and Focused Enforcement Year



APPENDIX D: CHANGE IN PAVEMENT DAMAGE

Figure D-1. Change in Pavement Damage for the Four Corners/Gallatin *STARS* Site, Baseline to Focused Enforcement Year



Figure D-2. Change in Pavement Damage for the **Ryegate** *STARS* Site, Baseline to Focused Enforcement Year



Figure D-3. Change in Pavement Damage for the **Stanford** *STARS* Site, Baseline to Focused Enforcement Year



Figure D-4. Change in Pavement Damage for the **Townsend** *STARS* Site, Baseline to Focused Enforcement Year

# APPENDIX D: CHANGE IN PAVEMENT DAMAGE





\* Data Problem

Figure D-5. Change in Pavement Damage for the Arlee *STARS* Site, Baseline to Focused Enforcement Year



Figure D-6. Change in Pavement Damage for the **Decker** *STARS* Site, Baseline to Focused Enforcement Year



APPENDIX D: CHANGE IN PAVEMENT DAMAGE

Figure D-7. Change in Pavement Damage for the **Manhattan** *STARS* Site, Baseline to Focused Enforcement Year



Figure D-8. Change in Pavement Damage for the **Miles City East** *STARS* Site, Baseline to Focused Enforcement Year



APPENDIX D: CHANGE IN PAVEMENT DAMAGE

Figure D-9. Change in Pavement Damage for the Ulm *STARS* Site, Baseline to Focused Enforcement Year



Figure D-10. Change in Pavement Damage for the **Broadview** *STARS* Site, Baseline to Focused Enforcement Year

APPENDIX D: CHANGE IN PAVEMENT DAMAGE



Figure D-11. Change in Pavement Damage for the **Culbertson** *STARS* Site, Baseline to Focused Enforcement Year



Figure D-12. Change in Pavement Damage for the **Fort Benton** *STARS* Site, Baseline to Focused Enforcement Year





Figure D-13. Change in Pavement Damage for the **Galen** *STARS* Site, Baseline to Focused Enforcement Year



Figure D-14. Change in Pavement Damage for the **Havre East** *STARS* Site, Baseline to Focused Enforcement Year

APPENDIX D: CHANGE IN PAVEMENT DAMAGE



Figure D-15. Change in Pavement Damage for the Lima *STARS* Site, Baseline to Focused Enforcement Year



Figure D-16. Change in Pavement Damage for the **Paradise** *STARS* Site, Baseline to Focused Enforcement Year







# STATE TRUCK ACTIVITIES REPORTING SYSTEM (STARS)

# **Survey Questionnaire**

With the advent of weigh-in-motion (WIM) technologies, the ability to collect and monitor commercial vehicle data has seen great success. Still lacking however, are means to effectively and efficiently <u>utilize</u> this data to achieve long-term infrastructure improvements. The Montana Department of Transportation (MDT) has recently developed a new system that focuses on just that. The **State Truck Activities Reporting System**, or STARS, consists of an extensive array of WIM sensors deployed across the Montana highway system that feed data to customized software programs. The software can subsequently be used to characterize commercial vehicle operations by classification and weight, and to further perform extensive analyses specifically addressing overweight commercial vehicle operations.

In cooperation with Montana State University, a pilot project is currently underway to evaluate the effectiveness of STARS in focusing weight enforcement resources on those locations around the state experiencing the greatest pavement-related infrastructure deterioration from overweight vehicle operations. Secondary benefits include expanded and improved quality of truck weight and classification data collected by MDT. STARS sites include a cross-section of rural, interstate and non-interstate facilities where prevailing truck enforcement activities range from constant to intermittent. Pavement design, engineering and planning efforts all may benefit from this improvement in truck-related data.

The intent of this *Survey Questionnaire* is to solicit information that details the extent of benefits that may result from expanded and improved truck-related data. In particular, representative responses are sought from the areas of:

- ☑ Planning
- ☑ Engineering
- ☑ Motor Carrier Services
- ☑ Pavements and Materials

- Geometric Design
- ☑ Safety
- ☑ Bridges

Please assist us in this endeavor by either completing this survey yourself or passing it along to someone appropriate. Return your completed *Survey Questionnaire* no later than **July 10, 2002** by:

| 1. | Email to:                             | JodiC@ce.montana.edu  |
|----|---------------------------------------|---|
| 2. | Fax to:                               | (406) 994-6105, ATTN: Jodi Carson   |
| 3. | Mail, along with any attachments, to: | Dr. Jodi Carson<br>Department of Civil Engineering<br>214 Cobleigh Hall<br>Montana State University<br>Bozeman, Montana 59717 |

If you have any questions or comments about this *Survey Questionnaire* or the STARS Project itself, please feel free to contact Dr. Jodi Carson at (406) 994-7998 or <u>JodiC@ce.montana.edu</u>. Thank you very much for your assistance.

# APPENDIX E: DATA ENHANCEMENT SURVEY

| CONTACT INFORMATION    |            |  |  |  |
|------------------------|------------|--|--|--|
| Name:                  | Telephone: |  |  |  |
| Title:                 | Fax:       |  |  |  |
| MDT Area/<br>Division: | Email:     |  |  |  |
| DATA USE               |            |  |  |  |

1. How do you currently use truck-related data in your day-to-day activities?

Example applications may include:

- <u>Planning</u>: truck volume data by route for modeling goods movements throughout the state or monitoring truck traffic growth
- Engineering: traffic simulation model applications to test various operational strategies
- <u>Motor Carrier Service</u>: truck weight data for setting equitable vehicle license fees or locating and scheduling enforcement resources
- <u>Pavements and Materials</u>: truck weight and volume data for projecting the number of equivalent single axle loads applied to a pavement structure
- <u>Geometric Design</u>: truck dimensional data for turning radii or lane widths, truck volume and route data for locating climbing lanes
- <u>Safety</u>: truck miles traveled to determine crash exposure rates, various truck characteristics as they affect safety

Bridges: truck weight data for developing loading standards for bridge design and maintenance

#### **DATA ELEMENTS**

2. What specific types of data do you currently collect or access to support your day-to-day activities? Are there data that you would like to see collected? If yes, what are they?

Current:

Desired:

Example data elements may include:

- *truck volumes by route*
- *truck volumes seasonally*
- truck origin and destination
- percent of overweight trucks in the traffic stream
- equivalent single axle loads
- truck dimensions

## APPENDIX E: DATA ENHANCEMENT SURVEY

• truck weights **DATA SOURCES** 

truck-involved crashes

3. What are your current sources for this data?

Example data sources may include:

- another division within MDT
- periodic field studies
- another agency such as Montana Highway Patrol
- assumed values from professional reference manuals such as the <u>Highway</u> <u>Capacity Manual</u> or <u>Trip Generation</u> <u>Guide</u>

### **DATA QUALITY**

4. What are the shortcomings with the data that you currently access or collect and utilize?

•

Example shortcomings may include:

- *not accurate or detailed enough*
- not timely

## DATA IMPROVEMENTS

6.

• *difficult to access and requires significant manipulation* 

STARS will ultimately result in the implementation of 90 truck weight and classification data reporting sites, of which 26 will be permanent and will be operated on a continuous basis. The remaining 64 will be operated intermittently on a three-year cycle using fully portable weigh-in-motion (WIM) equipment. WIM systems provide continuous electronic capture of site identifiers, times and dates of vehicle passage, lane of travel, vehicle speeds and classifications, weights of all axles or axle groups and equivalent single axle load values.

# 5. How do you think this improvement in truck-related data quantity and quality will affect your day-to-day activities?

| <ul> <li>Example effects may include:</li> <li>easier access to data</li> <li>improved accuracy in projected<br/>equivalent single axle loads</li> </ul> | • <i>improved efficiency in data collection and analysis</i>  |
|--|---|
| The new data available through STARS will (Please check one.)  | <ul> <li>substantially benefit</li> <li>benefit</li> <li>not effect</li> <li>detrimentally effect what I do.</li> </ul> |

#### Thank you again for your time and assistance with this effort.

 $\sim$